Neuroplasticity in play: Outcomes after Hemispherectomy in Rasmussen Encephalitis
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Abstract

Introduction: A key property of the brain is its capacity to change after injury to enable at least some behavioral compensation. There are spontaneous reparative changes following injury, some of these changes are sufficient to support significant functional recovery.

Rasmussen Encephalitis: Rasmussen Encephalitis is a rare but severe immune-mediated brain disorder leading to unilateral hemispheric atrophy, associated progressive neurological dysfunction and intractable seizures. Hemispherectomy in one of its modern variants offers a very high chance of seizure freedom and it is highly probable that the unaffected hemisphere compensates and takes over the functions of the removed hemisphere.

Outcomes after Hemispherectomy: We review the some cases of positive outcomes after removal of a hemisphere in patients suffering from Rasmussen encephalitis.

Discussion: Neuroplasticity is the key for a functional motor shift to the normal hemisphere to happen. Removal of a hemisphere dramatically illustrates the resilience of the brain to extensive damage.

Conclusion: Research on the basic principles of brain plasticity is leading to new approaches to treating the injured brain. The power of neuroplasticity that is play in RE can be harnessed for intervention and rehabilitation in the field of neurology.
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Introduction

For many years, the medical field held the belief that the brain was "hard-wired" with fixed neuronal circuits and that it was constant in its organization and function. Today we know that the brain continually adjusts and reorganizes itself by forming new neural connections throughout life. It has now become clear that the brain is inherently capable of changing after injury to enable at least some behavioral compensation. Researchers in recent decades have revealed that the cerebral cortex, rather than being fixed in structure and function, is highly dynamic. This dynamic change to the brain throughout an individual's life course is referred to as neuroplasticity. The term neuroplasticity gained prominence in the latter half of the 20th century, when new research showed many aspects of the brain remain "plastic" even into adulthood. It allows the neurons to compensate for injury and disease and to adjust their activities in response to new situations or to changes in their environment, sometimes recovering brain functions. Children have an enhanced capacity for brain plasticity compared to adults as demonstrated by their superior ability to learn a second language or their capacity to recover from brain injuries or radical surgery such as hemispherectomy for epilepsy.

There is evidence that neurogenesis occurs in the adult brain as well and such changes can persist well into old age. The evidence for neurogenesis is mainly restricted to the hippocampus and olfactory bulb, but current research has revealed that other parts of the brain, including the cerebellum, may be involved as well. The Applications and examples of neuroplasticity include improvements in functional outcomes with physical therapy after stroke, successful improvements in persons with amblyopia, convergence insufficiency or stereo vision anomalies and positive outcomes after hemispherectomy in Rasmussen Encephalitis. An example neuroplasticity worth mentioning here a case reported in ‘Neurology India’ describing a patient who had functional hemispherectomy for intractable seizures secondary to right hemispheric cortical dysplasia. This patient presented with mild left-sided weakness with intact gross motor and fine motor activity. An fMRI, however, showed a complete shift of the motor function to normal hemisphere.

Rasmussen's Encephalitis

Neurosurgeon Theodore Rasmussen and his colleagues first made the description of Rasmussen Encephalitis (RE) in 1958. RE is a rare but severe immune-mediated brain disorder leading to unilateral hemispheric atrophy, associated progressive neurological dysfunction and intractable seizures.

Furthermore, intractable focal motor seizures which later develops into epilepsy partialis continua, gradual hemiparesis, and decline in mental and social domains, homonymous hemianopia and deterioration of language skills have been observed in patients with RE. A Case study describes a 4-year-old child with RE, with the onset at 2 years. Epilepsia partialis continua began at 2.5 years old, progressive left sided hemiparesis was observed. MRI revealed diffused atrophic changes in his right cerebral hemisphere.
The 2005 European consensus proposed formal diagnostic criteria and a therapeutic pathway for the management of RE patients (Table 1) (12). The initial hypothesis of the origin of the syndrome was a viral infection, but has since been researched to be an autoimmune disorder (13, 14). An important characteristic of RE seizure is that it usually is focal and never spread or diffuse unilaterally or become generalized. As far as treatment of epilepsy is concerned, anti-seizure effect of anti-epilepsy drugs is usually limited to secondarily generalized seizures and complex partial seizures whereas epilepsy partialis continua usually is totally refractory. Immunomodulatory treatments seem to slow rather than halt disease progression in RE, without changing the eventual outcome. Hemispherectomy in one of its modern variants offers a very high chance of seizure freedom. Hemispherectomy or hemispherotomy is the definitive treatment of RE (15). The functional outcomes make hemispherectomy the most preferred procedure. Since, RE is localized in only hemisphere, early removal of the affected hemisphere will enable the unaffected hemisphere to compensate the functions of the affected one (16). Hemispherectomy performed in carefully selected pediatric patients with medically intractable epilepsy can be a safe and efficacious surgical procedure (17). Hemispherectomy in children has been used as treatment for refractory epilepsy and for cure of localized focal epilepsy (19). Hemispherectomy offers one of the best chances of making patients with RE seizure free with (70–80%) long-term seizure-free outcome (19). A European consensus statement says hemisdisconnection is the only management option that achieves seizure freedom (20).

Outcomes after Hemispherectomy

The outcomes of Rasmussen syndrome patients after hemispherectomy are very positive. Most of the patients recovered and consistently showed improvements. Few motor and sensory deficits are observed on the affected side but the unaffected hemisphere compensates and takes over the functions of the removed hemisphere. In the case report of a 4 year old child by Chiang et al cited in the previous section, the epilepsy partialis continua disappeared after the surgery. The hemiparesis improved and regained motor function on the affected limbs (11). In a retrospective study published in Journal of Clinical Neurology, authors reported that (66.7%) of the children who underwent hemispheric disconnection became seizure-free or showed a more than (90%) reduction in seizure frequency (21). In another study published recently, 136 of 186 hemispherectomized patients (73%) were reported to have achieved either seizure freedom or major reductions in seizure frequency (22). In the Bonn University Medical Center study of 92 pediatric epilepsy patients, 78 of the cases (85%) were reported to be seizure-free at their last follow-up (23). A Brazilian retrospective study reported the clinical and electrographic analysis, as well as the evolution of 23 patients with RE. Fourteen patients achieved satisfactory seizure control; three patients had partial response to surgery (24). The study conducted at Great Ormond Street Hospital London for Children on the clinical course and outcomes of 33 children who underwent hemispherectomy between 1991 and 1997 showed an excellent seizure outcome with (52%) of children becoming seizure free and a further (39%) experiencing more than (75%) reduction in seizure frequency (25). This compares well with the Johns Hopkins series of 58 children who underwent hemispherectomy (26). Cleveland Clinic study of a cohort of 115 children, (83%) patients walked independently, (73%) had minimal or no behavioral problems, (69.5%) had satisfactory spoken language skills, and (42%) had good reading skills. In (76%) of patients, limitations related to visual field deficits were not perceived as significant (27). A 2004 Harvard Medical School study reported that patients with left-sided disease had worse outcomes with respect to general intelligence, receptive language, and expressive language (28). However, not all patients with dominant-hemisphere disease have poor outcomes. One case report demonstrated new activation of right-sided structures on fMRI after hemispherectomy, demonstrating radiographically that language function can transfer to the contralateral hemisphere in some cases, while other case reports have shown varying findings on late recovery of language function after hemispherectomy (29-35).

K.N. Ramesha, et al of Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology reported that out of 10 RE patients who underwent either hemispherotomy (n=6), hemispherectomy (n=3) or focal resection (n=1), all except 1 patient was ambulant at last follow-up, either independently or with minimum support (36). Therefore by looking at these scientific studies, we can say that the outcomes of hemispherectomy in RE are consistent, with about (65%) been seizure-free. In few cases, mild episodes of residue seizures were observed. The restoration of motor function was observed in most of the patients, some of them walking and running without braces.

Discussion

Evidently, neuroplasticity enables the brain to compensate for damage. It is the key for a functional motor shift to the normal hemisphere to happen. Removal of a hemisphere dramatically illustrates the resilience of the brain to extensive damage. The scientific articles on hemispherectomy in RE cited above demonstrate the fact that the brain can sometimes not just generate new neurons, but also make new connections, and that these new neurons can sometimes “migrate” within the brain. There is a possibility that, under certain conditions, these new neurons could migrate to damaged areas, form new connections, and restore some or all lost functions. Brain plasticity brings
about increase in new synapses besides strengthening and expansion of influence by dendritic branching (37). After hemispherectomy the inhibition of the corpus callosum is stopped, hence the ipsilateral pathways open up. In addition, there is an overall hypereexcitability and increase in neuromodulators and neurotrophins after such cortical damage. This overall hypereexcitability helps neuroplasticity. The involvement of one such neurotrophin, brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), represents one of the major mediators of neuroplasticity (38). The capacity of the brain for plasticity has a limited window of opportunity and it is believed to occur until 7 years for language, between 5 and 16 years for frontal lobe functions and between 1 and 11 years for occipital functions (39,40). In RE progressive extensive hemispheric deficits occur. Hence, early hemispherectomy is indicated before maximal deficit occurs and for better neurocognitive outcomes (41,42).

Conclusions

Neuroplasticity is the key mechanism in the recovery after hemispherectomy in RE. Future studies, involving larger numbers of hemispherectomized patients, will be necessary to have a greater knowledge of how cerebral reorganization can contribute to residual sensorimotor function. As we gain more and more clear understanding of the principles that control plasticity in the normal brain, novel treatment strategies can be developed to stimulate recovery after cerebral injury. The power of neuroplasticity can be harnessed for intervention and rehabilitation in the field of neurology. A significant challenge in the coming decade is to devise ways to apply the knowledge generated in the studies mentioned here to develop successful rehabilitation strategies.
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