Content available at: <https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals>

IP Indian Journal of Neurosciences

Journal homepage: <https://www.ijnonline.org/>

Original Research Article

Computational intelligence in subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation: A case study in Parkinson's disease using machine learning supervised techniques

Venkateshwarla Rama Raju^{1,2,*}¹Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering, CMR College of Engineering & Technology, Kandlakoya, Medchal, Hyderabad, Telangana, India²Fellow, Neurology, Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences (NIMS), Hyderabad, Telangana, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17-03-2021

Accepted 07-05-2021

Available online 14-07-2021

Keywords:

Data

Data base

Data set

Deep brain Stimulator (DBS)

Machine Learning (ML)

Machine Learning Algorithms (MLA)

Machine Learning Techniques (MLT)

Gradient Boosting Machines (GBM)

NeuroSurgicalOperation (NSO)

Supervised Learning (SL)

Confidence interval (CI)

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

Computed Axial Tomography (CAT)

microelectrode recording (MER)

Parkinson Disease (PD)

Subthalamic nucleus (STN)

Gradient Boosting Machine (GBM)

ABSTRACT

Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is a complex procedure for subjects experiencing with Parkinson disease (PD) medically resistant neurologic neurodegenerative features (the signs and symptoms). Its impediments are singular; detecting predictors involve several minimal invasive neurosurgical operations. Artificial intelligence (AI) machine learning techniques (MLT) can be employed to well predict these outcomes. The goal of this study is to investigate pre operative quantifiable risk factors experimentally, and to build ML models to predict unfavorable outcomes. Based on the UPDRS stage III+ scale, the subjects were selected. We have gathered clinical - demographic characteristics of PDs undergoing DBS and tabulated occurrence of hurdles. Logistic Regression (LR) is employed to compute risk factors and supervised learning techniques (SLT) were imparted training plus corroborated on 70% and 30% of oversampled and novel registry data. The performance was authenticated exploiting vicinity in the receiver working characteristic curve (A U C), sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy. LR proved that the peril of snag was linked to the working institute wherein the brain-operation done. Odds-ratio(OR): 0.44, confidence-intervals(CI) 0.25e0.78, body-mass-index: BMI OR- 0.94, CI: 0.89e0.99, and diabetics: OR- 2.33, CI:1.18e4.60. PD subjects in diabetics were nearly~33 more accountable to return to the working room OR: 2.78, CI:1.31e5.88. PD subjects by a record of smoking were 43 more probable to practice post operative (post op) infection: OR- 4.20, CI:1.21e14.61. AI-SLTs verified high bias recital while predicting some snag (AUC: 0.86), a snag within dozen months (AUC: 0.91), return to the operating/working room (AUC: 0.88), and bug (AUC: 0.97). Age, BMI, procedure-side, gender, and a diagnosis of Parkinson disease were influential features. Many snag peril factors were recognized, and SLT successfully predicted critical outcomes in D B neurosurgery.

© This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

1. Introduction

The goal of this study is to observe which pre operative (pre op) experimental quantifiable medical factors were connected to snags which upsurge in induced deep brain stimulus (DBS) procedure. Deep brain stimulation is a therapeutic-surgical procedure, protected, effectual, in addition neurosurgical intercession (interventional-study of sub thalamic nucleus S T N, and globul-pallidus GP

neurons)) for a range of neuro logic neuro de generative disorders plus Parkinson disease (PD) with a high cardinal tremor¹⁻⁷ in the course of micro-neuro-chips (i.e., neuro-neuro-sensors or microelectrodes) embedded into the PD brain. The technique stimulates sub cortical structures deep in the PD brain structures especially S T N, ventral intermedium nucleus V I M, and GP neurons to improve neuro logic neuro de generative features (signs and symptoms) for instance, tremor, motor fluctuations, postural instability and rigidity.^{4,5,8} This process modality is measured when a PD patient's feature-manifestations

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: drvrr@cmrcet.org (V. R. Raju).

(i.e., symptoms) have not been adequately progressed by remedial therapeutic supervision.^{9–14}

The process necessitates an early implantation of intelligent chip (the micro-neuro-sensor, i.e., micro-neuro-electrode) in to the PD brain surgically plus following neurosurgery to interface a cardiac pace maker like battery at the chest or abdomen and the insertion of device pulse-generators (i.e., implanted pulse generators called “IPGs”) see the picturesque in Figure 1.

Fig. 1: The picturesque of DBS electrode implantation

Impending or possible snags occurring as of D B S neuro-operation incorporate contagion, infectivity, intra cerebral hemorrhages such as blood-loss, epileptic-seizures, plus hardware/instrument device breakdowns, which can cause unplanned returns to the operating-working room. Post operative re admittance tariffs vary as of 1.9% per month (1-month) to 4.3% (3-months).¹ Features and issues which are factors expected to correlate by snags comprise age, environment, smoking history, obesity, diabetes, hypertension, and neurosurgical-volume capability.^{1,15} Age following 60 and hyper tension have been linked by the peril of intra cranial blood-loss (hemorrhage),¹⁵ and re admittance following D B S neuro-surgical-operation (NSO) has been allied or coupled with pre operative (pre op) coronary blood vessel (arterial) disease, obesity, and smoke smolder.¹ Additionally, there is a cyclic variant in the induced deep brain stimulus contagion (bug), frequently called as the “July-Effect”.¹⁶ Assimilating pre op peril evaluation into regular quantifiable experimental care cultivates a mutual executive (decision-making)/supervisory progression amongst the NS team, the subject (Parkinson patient), and irrefutable experimental allowers or facilitators.¹⁷

Accomplishing pre op risk(peril) evaluation for D B S procedures is exigent/complicated because of owing to some degree of restricted data portentous - signifying symptomatic of the aids of personage peril features to

post op snags (i.e., problems). It is uncertain that the prose-text available literature nearby stimulus DB-NSO peril remnants not deducive for the reason that the lower frequency of problems limits the power and the sensitivity of conventionally established statistical techniques. To experiment this issue, the data base of snags and peril risk-factors compiled plus a pilot-study examined.

Analogous to the contemporary existing literature as per the journals review, the merely correlation established was a link amid cigar-smoke, chain-smoking plus contagion infectivity menace. The customary statistical-techniques applied were barren and unproductive at formatting and shaping the momentous experimental quantifiable peril-factors correlated to snags, like mass of the body index (body-mass-index,BMI), diabetes, hyper tension, cigar-smoking followed by age and environment changes, and genetics.

Hence, a singular loom to discovering associations amid snags and peril-factors, concerning the application of machine learning (ML), was planned and applied. The machine learning is a branch of artificial intelligence (AI), symbolizes and stands for the dominancy and influential prevailing set of technologies which facilitate three key-tasks, namely, the classification, regression, and the clustering-cluster analysis.¹⁸

The supervised learning (SL) engrosses and entails the imparting guiding or supervising (training) algorithmic-techniques by means of data sets which restrain resultant labels the outcomes of labels called “labeled-outcomes”) for all – each and every cases. The SL employs input (i/p) features like “X” to predict a defined upshot “Y”, whilst unsupervised learning (USL) entails investigating i/p variables “X” to explicate the “patterns/signatures” and “anatomical-structure” within the data.

The SLAs might predict exceptional and unusual singular events like neurosurgical snags¹⁹ and contain the prospective to progress PDs peril stratification(i.e., divisions into different layers/or clusters), scientific managerial(decision-making), approval/ authorization from PDs, and chalking of health-service planning.^{17,20–25}

The SLTs have been employed in the induced deep brain stimuli neurosurgery to envisage to predict the outcomes,²⁰ and neurosurgical-targets^{19,26,27} followed by the side-effects called “dyskinesias”,²¹ status-of-ejection,²⁸ and neuro degenerative neuro-physio-logic discovery of the anatomical-structures of the induced deep brain stimulus results.²⁹

The extreme-gradient boosting-machines “XGBMs” are a kind of SLAs and employ decision-tree, random-forest based learning and demonstrates brawny presentation and performance scheduled a varied group-of-tribulations. They function through tactically connecting networks fusing of sequential decision-trees. Afterward, the decision-tree models GB.

The design techniques that incorporate gradient-boosting has created extremely vigorous regression and classification-techniques. The X G B machines seem to have done well in good health in a variety-of-domains, and have been exposed - publicized to do to execute well mainly on data sets typified by class imbalance. Indeed, numerous SLT do well as prognostic-tools and utilities, to a degree partially for the reason that they are capable of estimating multifaceted non linear contacts in immense data sets exploiting 'biased-statistical-functions' in a way which can't be professed by linear-models or by medical-professionals. The LR is one such linear-classification-model("LCM"). This is because the technique has two pros – (i) the data can be interpreted easily, and (ii) it gives the evaluation of statistical implication and importance significantly and reasonably.

Since, class-inequity/or imbalance ("disparity") predicament, hitch, difficulty, etc is highly prevailing and is widely spreading, therefore, several indeed a myriad of computational scientists researchers engineers researching and conducting experimental application research at the systems levels with the systems thinking in the domains of data-mining, predictive-analytics, medical diagnostics especially in the fields of Parkinson disease and movement disorders, Alzheimer's and the ML has paying attention to design and develop and test and then corroborate the techniques to successfully concentrate on methods, at the algorithmic-techniques and at levels of the data "the data-levels".^{22–31} The synthetic minority oversampling technique "S M O T E" has appeared as a successful method of solving "class-inequality/imbalance difficulty at the levels-of-data.

This paper is about multi-variate LR method which can distinguish major connections involving pre op inconsistent(variables) and post op results, and also application of X G B M algorithmic-technique plus S M O T E method for expecting stimulus snags with induced deep brain stimulator.

2. Materials and Methods

Parkinson Subjects: This study was approved by institutional review boards at each study site. Even though subjects who participated in this study gave their consent without any hesitation, because of the trade fair nature of the research work, we have waived the need for informed consent in the form of a standard format. A joint registry was formed-produced; consist of 513 PD subjects who underwent preliminary stimulus DB microelectrode insertion neurosurgeries amid January 1999 and February 2020 at four major clinics in South India.

Two neurologists' (neurophysiologists), five neurosurgeons, a biomedical scientist, five neuroradiologists, and five anesthetist's combindly accomplished the processes in a period of circa ~20 years.

Neurochips were embedded in to all the 513 candidates.

2.1. Neurosurgical operational procedure

The usual neurosurgical operation procedure was comparatively analogous in the midst of all neurosurgeons. At our center, chief neurosurgeons have had more than ten years of experience in the DBS neurosurgical operational procedure.

A high rich cutting edge technological C R W frame was employed in all subjects with Parkinson's disease and the micro neurochips were embedded unilaterally (one-side) and bilaterally (two-sides) in all the subjects with induced stimulus DB electrodes insertion (unilateral and/or bilateral) using Medtronic 3389 or 3379 microelectrodes. The microrecording, i.e., microelectrode recordings (MER) of sub thalamic nucleus (S T N) neurons signals was accomplished in all the cases, i.e., in 513 candidates. A sole micro neuro sensor was exploited to detect and corroborate the aimed-targets (detection of S T N neurons) in every-case.

The average number of micro-electrode passes per lead was 1.4. Intra op imaging of micro electrode point through funnel ray (shaft of light) magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was done in few cases up to 2007. The bulk preponderance of the subjects underwent intra op bi polar evaluation of medical experimental quantifiable scientific effectiveness plus dyskinesias in awaked locally anesthetized states [60]. Every candidate underwent post op MRI scanning's in ten days of sensors insertions.

2.2. Data

Pre existing eminence guaranteed reassurance data of sub thalamic nuclei induced deep brain stimulator PD subjects plus outcomes of candidates as of investigate study-sites were pooled. Supplementary trade fair (demonstration) data acquired as of electronic-scientific experimental-investigative medical records. Possible peril-factors were gathered which includes age, gender, mass of the body index (BMI), experimentally-quantifiable medical-diagnosis, cigar-smoking genetic, immune-repression, hyper tension, for instance, medical-prescription had in 3months of neurosurgery, chronic disease type diabetic-mellitus diagnosis, neurosurgical-aimed-targets STN and GP followed by process-side unilaterally brain right and left-hemisphere and bilaterally both the sides of the brain.

3. Investigation

Uni-lateral(one-side): $n_{\frac{1}{4}}151$, two-sides: concurrent bi lateral: $n_{\frac{1}{4}}296$, plus dramatic theatrical brain's two-sided embedding neurochip: $n_{\frac{1}{4}}54$ were computed each as a single candidate=case. Descriptive statistics, multi variate LR, plus SL model progress done by invoking the Python software.

Fig. 2: Picturesque of ML (arithmetical and SL procedure)

4. Neural-net growth for BMI data allegation

Lost-data(missing) might generate tribulations for a few SLATs hence might insist plummeting each and every candidate. Also, lost-data might be unfavorably distress the legitimacy or corroboration of results [61]. Neural-net was chosen for assertion attribution regression as it verified the best performance-presentation.

5. Feature Selection

3 decisive factors were employed while choosing i/p features for the models built, namely, presented data in the journals-literature portentous a connection amid the feature and the outcome-result, accessibility of the feature in the data set; and experimentally quantifiable medical expert support that the feature in consideration is diagnostically prognostically and hence clinically linked to the outcome variable.

6. Multi variate LR to discovery associations

Multi variate LR is conducted exploiting the statistical-models [53] and scikit learn software's. Multi variate model presentation, odds-ratios plus confidence-intervals were computed for every peril-factor. Feature-manifestations amid insignificant stats input to multi variate prototypes with Z score < 0.02 was eliminated.

6.1. Prototype progress for post op snags forecast

Multiple classifiers were experienced plus contrasted to envisage post op snags result with LR, random-forests, decision-trees plus SVM machines. The technique's statistical-performance was evaluated applying numerous-metrics plus area A U C, precision, warmth-compassion like sensitivity, specificity, +Ve prognostic-value, also -Ve prognostic-value. This showed highest performance-classifier.

7. Findings

The graphic equivalent and expressive-descriptive statistics are presented in the Table 1. Their mean-age at onset, i.e., embedding neurochips was 64 ± 10.3 years. Most subjects were male-category:63%, were prognosed by Parkinson's:70%, had a B M I of 25.5~67.1%, underwent a simultaneous for bi lateral surgery:59%, S T N process:70%. On the whole, in general, subject distinctiveness not changed amid working clinics.

7.1. Snags tempo

27~5.4 % infectivity's above the epoch of study, mean~454 days. The infectivity's were either peri operative, happening in 90days of embedding neuro-sensors in 13~2.6% subjects. Median period to implant (on set) of every

Table 1: Evocative graphic statistics and result of feature-manifestations within the 513 candidate's data set through stimulus DB.

Feature cluster	Feature	Class of feature	Percentage %
Predictors	Institution	Institution 1	201 (40%)
		Institution 2	300 (60%)
	Age	75 and older	70 (14%)
		Under 75	431 (86%)
	Gender	Male	318 (63%)
		Female	183 (37%)
	Diagnoses	Parkinson disease	349 (70%)
		Essential tremor	129 (26%)
		Dystonia	11 (2%)
	BMI	Other	12 (2%)
		∴:25	335 (67%)
		18-24.9	157 (31%)
	Comorbidities and risk factors	<18	9 (2%)
		Smoking history	25 (5%)
		Immune suppressed	25 (5%)
		Diabetes	67 (13%)
		Hypertension	231 (46%)
		Subthalamic (STN)	349 (70%)
Outcomes	Procedure type	Thalamic (VIM)	128 (26%)
		Globus pallidus internus (GPi)	22 (4%)
	Outcomes	Other	2 (0%)
		Intracranial hemorrhage	15 (3%)
		Readmission	17 (3%)
		Ischemic infarction	3 (1%)
		Seizure	3 (1%)
		Lead fractures	18 (4%)
		Electrode migration	8 (2%)
		Battery loose or flipping	7 (1%)
		Device malfunction	26 (5%)
		Return to operating room	53 (11%)
		Infection	27 (5%)
		Hemiparesis	5 (1%)
		Facial droop	6 (1%)
		Sensory change	4 (1%)
		Complication other	8 (2%)
		Complication any	83 (17%)
		Complication within 12 months	59 (12%)

candidates infectivity's was 99 circa~100days. Tardy infectivity's normally were connected to hard ware erosion, systemic-infectivity's, or implanted pulse generators (IPGs) surrogate, or they appeared spontaneously. The NSO review of hard ware transpired in 26~5.2% subjects, on middling 840days following the first implant.

7.2. Peril factors detected with LR technique

The LR showed important links among the peril-factors statistically followed by the snags depicted in the Table2 shown above. The mellitus subjects approximately were three new probably to revisit the NS theatre than those devoid of mellitus: Or $\frac{1}{4}$ 2.78, CI $\frac{1}{4}$ 1.31e5.88, p<0.001 highly significant statistically by a χ^2 @9.2857 by a 2 degree of freedom which is highly significant at 5 %. The post

op infectivity linked through genetics, and cigar-smoking: Or $\frac{1}{4}$ 4.20, CI $\frac{1}{4}$ 1.21e14.61, p<0.012 highly significant statistically by a χ^2 @9.2866 by a 2 degree of freedom which is highly significant at 5 %. Subjects with cigar smoking were possibly to undergo post op infectivity. The clinical centers by somewhat advanced snags-tempo emerge to have functioned on a subject sample through advanced co morbidity rates showed in the following Table3. The merits of applying ML algorithmic-techniques is to stratify perils in neurosurgical procedures are numerous. MLTs are highly able to confining composite nonlinearity in massive data base and data sets than usual conventional statistical methods might be arranged to construct build by cloud-computing for possible use by doctors and PD subjects universally. The ML tools and utilities are well suited to enormous composite data-processing, make possible

Snags if at all Snags in 365 days revisits to surgical theatre Infectivity								
	Weights	OR:95% CI	Weights	OR:95% CI	Weights	OR:95% CI	Weights	OR:95% CI
Intercept	D.35	1.55 ID.35.6 9D)	-D.6D	D.55 ID 10, 3DD)	-D.79	D.46 ID.D8.2.67)	-2.2D	D.11 ID.D1.1.11)
Demographics								
Institution D2	-0.82*	0.44 (0.25, 0.78)	-1.03*	0.36 (0.18, 0.70)	-D.39	D.68 ID.35, 1.34)		
Age 75 and older	D.44	1.55 ID 77, 313)	D.53	1.7D ID.75. 384)	D.17	118 ID 5D, 2 8D)	D.9D	2.45 ID 88, 6.78)
Male	-D.D9	D.91 ID 55. 1 51)	D.D6	1.D6 ID 58, 1.91)	-D.D9	D.91 ID 5D. 1 68)	D.13	114 ID.48. 2 68)
BMI at implant	-0.07t	0.94 (0.89, 0.99)	-D.D5	D.95 ID 9D. 1.D1)	-D.D4	D.96 ID 9D. 1 D2)	-D.D6	D.95 ID.87. 1.D3)
Clinical features								
Diabetes	0.84t	2.33 (1.18, 4.60)	D.78	2.17 ID 98, 4 8D)	1.02*	2.78 (1.31, 5.88)	D.56	1.75 ID.58, 5 29)
Hypertension	D DD	1DD ID 58, 173)	D.21	1.23 ID 65. 2.32)	-D.18	D.84 ID.43. 1 6D)	D.83	2.29 ID 99, 5 3D)
Smoking history	D.16	118 IDAD. 3.46)	D.38	1.46 ID.45. 4.79)	D.27	1.31 ID.41. 4 25)	1.44t	4.20 (1.21, 14.61)
Immunosu-	D.14	1.15 ID.38. 355)	D.35	1.42 ID.43. 4.67)	-1.3D	D.27 ID D3. 2.27)		
ppression								
ET	D.D2	1.D2 ID23, 4 55)	D.53	1.7D ID.43. 6 67)	-D.D2	D.98 ID 19. 5.D9)	-D.45	D.64 ID D7. 5 96)
		Dystonia -1.02 D.36 ID D3, 4 12) -D.53 D.59 ID D5, 7 25)						
Diagnosis other	-D.59	D.55 ID D7, 4 17)						
Thalamic !Vim)	-D.10	D.91 ID 21. 4 D4)	-1.11	D.33 ID D8. 1.33)	D.37	1.44 ID 28. 755)	-D.47	D.62 ID D7. 5 84)
Globus pallidus internus IGPi)	D.58	1.78 ID.46. 6 97)	D.2D	1.22 ID.32. 4.7D)	D.6D	1.82 ID.39. 8.51 1	D.1D	110 ID 21, 5.7D)
Left sided procedure	D.2D	1.23 ID 65, 2.32)	D.5D	1.65 ID 8D. 338)	-D.D5	D.95 ID44, 2 D7)	D.25	1.29 ID.46. 362)
Right-sided procedure	-D.23	D.79 ID 34, 185)	D.19	1.2D ID.49, 2 98)	-D.52	D.59 ID.2D. 1.75)	D.32	137 I0.41, 4 62)
LLRF Value	P=0.09		P<0.05		P=0.54		P=0.21	

data points of prophecy might feed in plus persuades pre op heed procedures and decisions or intra op therapy by expert and automatic-robotic machines. Conversely, due to erroneous data in the midst of noise distortion, partial or archaic, might not be appropriate to apply MLTs. In such situations it is good to depend on single-handed unaided decision of the skilled and smart neurosurgeon also the neurosurgical team (neurologists, neurosurgeons' radiologists, anesthetists, etc.

Fig. 3: R O U C for every S M O T E and X G B M prototypes, based on hold out testing corroboration data sets.

7.3. Limitations and Future Research

S M O T E is robust; and in the X G B M techniques, it is problematic to compute, especially in shortcoming data. Prudence, suitable decision of clinicians be worked on if applying these prototypes to build prophecy's for usage on fresh subject data. Auxiliary corroboration in fresh subject data on or after new clinics plus huge data base is most valuable. Future research may deploy the methods applied here for the prediction of complications associated with other surgical procedures that are characterized by a similar class imbalance problem. Studies may also develop supervised learning models to predict positive functional outcomes and the degree of functional improvement associated with various neurosurgical procedures. Future-extension could spot on expansion of experimental and quick quantifiable decision-support systems.

Fig. 4: Computational plots achieved in Mat Lab. Mutual clusters of snagged candidates A. for any snag plus un snagged candidates, B.the candidates B M I. the snags are grouped age $\frac{1}{4}$

8. Conclusion

The study showed single prospective loom to deal with class-disparity, i.e., inequity quandary, which is big problem

in neurosurgical operational perils division into different layers or clusters. The strategy followed is, applying S M O T E over sampling in combination by X G B M – S L A given the effects satisfactorily. Important snags peril-factors were detected, plus SMLTs successfully envisaged unfavorable out comes through therapeutic D B S neurosurgery. The SLMs can be employed for the progress of peril-layers, pre op PD subject approval plus experimental preparation to build induced deep brain stimuli neurosurgery safe for Parkinson's and movement disordered neurodegenerative chronic subjects.

9. Source of Funding

DST-CSRI, Dept. of Science & Technology, Ministry of Science & Technology, Government of India, New Delhi.

10. Conflict of Interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Kostoglou K. Classification and Prediction of Clinical Improvement in Deep Brain Stimulation from Intraoperative Microelectrode Recording. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 2017;64(5):1123–30. doi:10.1109/TBME.2016.2591827.
2. Dobrowolski A, Tomczykiewicz K, Komur P. Spectral Analysis of Motor Unit Action Potentials. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 2007;54(12):2300–2. doi:10.1109/tbme.2007.895752.
3. Santaniello S, McCarthy MM, Jr EM, Gale JT, Kope N. Therapeutic mechanisms of high-frequency stimulation in Parkinson's disease and neural restoration via loop-based reinforcement. *Proce Natl Acad Sci.* 2015;p. 1–10.
4. Sarma SV. Using point process models to compare neural spiking activity in the subthalamic nucleus of Parkinson's patients and a healthy primate. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 2010;57(6). doi:10.1109/TBME.2009.2039213..
5. Hans S, Kim D, Kim H, Park JW, Youn I. Electrical stimulation inhibits cytosine arabinoside-induce neuronal death by preventing apoptosis in dorsal root ganglion neurons. *Neuroreport.* 2016;27(16):1217–24. doi:10.1097/WNR.0000000000000681.
6. Raju VR. Latent Variate Factorial Principal Component Analysis of Microelectrode Recording of Subthalamic Nuclei Neural Signals with Deep Brain Stimulator in Parkinson Disease. *Soft Comput Med Bioinform.* 2018;p. 73–83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-0059-2_9.
7. Raju VR. Principal component latent variate factorial analysis of MER signals of STN-DBS in Parkinson's disease (Electrode Implantation). 2018;68(3).
8. Raju VR, Rukmini KM, Borgohain R, Ankathi P, Anitha, Jabeen SF, et al. The Role of Microelectrode Recording (MER) in STN DBS Electrode Implantation", IFMBE Proceedings, Springer, Vol. 51, World Congress on Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering, June 7-12, 2015, . vol. 51. Springer; 2015. p. 1204–8.
9. Huberdeau D, Walker H, Huang H, Montgomery E, Sarma SV. Analysis of Local Field Potential Signals: A Systems Approach, 33rd Annual International Conference of the IEEE EMBS; 2011.
10. Sarma SV, Eden UT, Cheng ML, Williams ZM, Hu R, Eskandar E, et al. Using Point Process Models to Compare Neural Spiking Activity in the Subthalamic Nucleus of Parkinson's Patients and a Healthy Primate. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 2010;57(6):1297–305. doi:10.1109/tbme.2009.2039213.

11. Saxena S, Schieber MH, Thakor NV, Sarma SV. Aggregate Input-Output Models of Neuronal Populations. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 2012;59(7):2030–9. doi:10.1109/tbme.2012.2196699.
12. Meloni G, Sen A, Abosch A, Ince NF. Spatial distribution of nonlinear interactions in subthalamic nucleus local field potentials in Parkinson's disease. 37th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC); 2015. p. 5557–5560.
13. Raju VR, Mridula RK, Borgohain R. Effect of Microelectrode Recording in Accurate Targeting STN with High Frequency DBS in Parkinson Disease. *IETE J Res.* 2019;p. 1–15. doi:10.1080/03772063.2019.1592715.
14. Rissanen SM, Kankaanpää M, Tarvainen MP, Novak V, Novak P, Hu K, et al. Analysis of EMG and Acceleration Signals for Quantifying the Effects of Deep Brain Stimulation in Parkinson's Disease. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 2011;58(9):2545–53. doi:10.1109/tbme.2011.2159380.
15. Rumalla K, Smith KA, Follett KA, Nazzaro JM, Arnold PM. Rates, causes, risk factors, and outcomes of readmission following deep brain stimulation for movement disorders: Analysis of the U.S. Nationwide Readmissions Database. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* 2018;171:129–4. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2018.06.013.
16. Zhou JJ, Chen T, Farber SH, Shetter AG, Ponce FA. Open-loop deep brain stimulation for the treatment of epilepsy: a systematic review of clinical outcomes over the past decade. *Neurosurg Focus.* 2008;45:5–5.
17. Matias CM, Frizon LA, Nagel SJ, Lobel DA, Machado AG. Deep brain stimulation outcomes in patients implanted under general anesthesia with frame-based stereotaxy and intraoperative MRI. *J Neurosurg.* 2018;129(6):1572–8. doi:10.3171/2017.7.jns171166.
18. Kleiner-Fisman G, Herzog J, Fisman DN, Tamma F, Lyons KE, Pahwa R, et al. Subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation: Summary and meta-analysis of outcomes. *Mov Disord Off J Mov Disord Soc.* 2006;21(S14):S290–S304. doi:10.1002/mds.20962.
19. Angeles P, Tai Y, Pavese N, Wilson S, Vaidyanathan R. Vaidyanathan. Automated assessment of symptom severity changes during deep brain stimulation (DBS) therapy for Parkinson's disease. In: 2017 International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR) IEEE; 2017. p. 1512–7.
20. Kostoglou K, Michmizos KP, Stathis P, Sakas D, Nikita KS, Mitsis GD, et al. Classification and Prediction of Clinical Improvement in Deep Brain Stimulation From Intraoperative Microelectrode Recordings. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 2017;64(5):1123–30. doi:10.1109/tbme.2016.2591827.
21. He H, Garcia. Learning from imbalanced data. *IEEE Trans Knowl Data Eng.* 2018;21(9):1263–84.
22. Liu XY, Wu J, Zhou ZH. Exploratory under-sampling for class-imbalance learning. *IEEE Trans Syst Man Cybern B.* 2008;39:539–50.
23. Seiffert C, Khoshgoftaar TM, Hulse JV, Napolitano A. Napolitano. RUSBoost: a hybrid approach to alleviating class imbalance. *IEEE Trans Syst Man Cybern A Syst Humans Vol 40, Pp:40:185-197.* 2010;40(1):185–97. doi:10.1109/tsmca.2009.2029559.
24. Mueller J, Skogseid I, Benecke. Pallidal deep brain stimulation improves quality of life in segmental and generalized dystonia: results from a prospective, randomized sham-controlled trial. *Mov Disord.* 2008;23:131–4.
25. Flora ED, Perera CL, Cameron AL, Maddern GJ. Deep brain stimulation for essential tremor: A systematic review. *Mov Disord.* 2010;25(11):1550–9. doi:10.1002/mds.23195.
26. Herzog J, Fietzek U, Hamel W, Morsnowski A, Steigerwald F, Schrader B, et al. Most effective stimulation site in subthalamic deep brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord.* 2004;19(9):1050–4. doi:10.1002/mds.20056.
27. Odekerken V, Laar TV, Staal MJ. Sub-thalamic nucleus versus globus pallidus bilateral deep brain stimulation for advanced Parkinson's disease (NSTAPS study): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol.* 2013;12:37–44.
28. Little S, Pogonyan A, Neal S. Adaptive deep brain stimulation in advanced Parkinson disease. *Ann Neurol.* 2013;74:449–57.
29. Miocinovic S, Somayajula S, Chitnis S, Vitek JL. History, Applications, and Mechanisms of Deep Brain Stimulation. *JAMA Neurol.* 2013;70(2):163–71. doi:10.1001/2013.jamaneurol.45.
30. Schrock LF, Mink JW, Woods DW. Tourette syndrome deep brain stimulation: a review and updated recommendations. *Mov Disord.* 2015;30:448–71.
31. Schlaepfer TE, Bewernick BH, Kayser S, Mädler B, Coenen VA. Rapid Effects of Deep Brain Stimulation for Treatment-Resistant Major Depression. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2013;73(12):1204–12. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.01.034.

Author biography

Venkateshwarla Rama Raju, Professor

Cite this article: Raju VR. Computational intelligence in subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation: A case study in Parkinson's disease using machine learning supervised techniques. *IP Indian J Neurosci* 2021;7(2):156-163.