Original Research Article

Evaluation of surgery in degenerative spondylolisthesis: A non randomized controlled trial

Thokchom Gojendra Singh¹, Brahmacharimayum Bobby Sarma^{1,*},
Nongthombam Hemanta Kumar Singh², Nongthombam Ratan Singh³,
Laithangbam PKS³

¹Dept. of Surgery, Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur, India

²Manipur State Health Service, Imphal, Manipur, India

³Dept. of Anaesthesiology, Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18-01-2020

Accepted 17-02-2020

Available online 07-05-2020

Keywords:

Surgical treatment

Decompression

Posterolateral fusion

Arthrodesis

Spondylolisthesis.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Although, the non operative treatment modalities of degenerative spondylolisthesis remained relatively unchanged during the past two decades, the number of surgical options has increased rapidly over the same period. The optimal surgical technique to treat symptomatic spondylolisthesis has not been determined.

Materials and Methods: In this prospective, non-randomized study, 56 patients of degenerative spondylolisthesis who have failed to conservative treatment for a minimum period of 3 months were subjected to surgery (decompressive laminectomy plus posterolateral instrumentation with pedicle screws plus intertransverse process arthrodesis with autologous bone graft) to compare the intensity of pain and degree of disability pre-operatively and post operatively at six months follow up using self-administered questionnaire and radiological assessment.

Results: The degree of spondylolisthesis was reduced post operatively (23.11% vs 15.19%; $p < 0.001$). Pain on visual analogue scale was also reduced post operatively (7.52 ± 0.68 vs 0.30 ± 0.74 ; $p < 0.001$). Other disabilities including paresthesia (16.1% vs 1.8%), hypoesthesia (48.2% vs 32.1%), weakness (48.2% vs 28.6%), stiffness (7.1% vs 0), and urinary symptoms (12.5% vs 1.8%) were also significantly ($p < 0.05$) reduced postoperatively.

Conclusion: Surgical treatment including decompression, posterolateral fusion and arthrodesis offers a great benefit in degenerative spondylolisthesis.

© 2020 Published by Innovative Publication. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

1. Introduction

Spondylolisthesis is a common cause of low back pain, radiculopathy, and neurogenic claudication among the adult population. Translated from Greek, spondylolisthesis literally means “slipping of the spine”. Degenerative spondylolisthesis was first described by Newman in 1955 and other types were described later.^{1,2} The most commonly affected level of the spine in degenerative spondylolisthesis is L4-L5 with women affected five to six times more than men, and black women are affected three times more than

white women.³

Non-operative treatments include non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs with or without narcotics, lumbosacral epidural injections, physical therapy and flexion-based exercise protocols. Surgery is usually considered if there is no improvement of function or pain relief after a minimum conservative trial of three months, or if there is a progression of neurologic deficit or development of cauda equina syndrome. Dynamic instability on radiologic assessment is also considered for surgery.

The surgical management of degenerative lumbar spondylolisthesis associated with spinal canal stenosis has

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: bobbyaarend@gmail.com (B. B. Sarma).

evolved throughout the past several decades. Early surgery of laminectomy (decompression) paid little importance to the instability related to the vertebral slippage. In patients who had decompressive laminectomy with preservation of a majority of facet joints, the outcome was dramatically better. The best outcome occurred in those patients who had undergone a decompressive laminectomy combined with a posterolateral intertransverse process arthrodesis.⁴

In this study, we have attempted to compare the pre-operative intensity of pain and functional limitations with corresponding values at six months postoperative follow up using self-administered questionnaire.⁵

2. Materials and Methods

This prospective non-randomized study was conducted in patients with degenerative spondylolisthesis attending Neurosurgery outpatient Department of a tertiary care centre at Bangalore. The patients were enrolled for surgery to be followed up postoperatively using self-administered questionnaire, over a period of three years. Approval from an institutional ethics committee was taken before the study. Data from 56 (fifty-six) patients were collected and analyzed over this period to compare the degree of pre-operative pain and functional disability with corresponding values at 6 (six) months postoperatively.

Patients with degenerative spondylolisthesis and symptomatic spinal canal stenosis who had undergone non-operative treatment for at least 3 (three) months before the surgery were included in the study. Patients who had a prior history of lumbar spine surgery, osteoporotic changes in the lumbosacral CT scan and back pain due to other pathologies were excluded from the study.

The pre-operative and post-operative parameter was assessed by using a self-administered questionnaire (Tables 1, 2 and 3) developed by Stucki et al.⁵ Some modifications were made by rating the pain in the back and lower limbs/ buttock using visual analogue scale (VAS) ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (severe pain).⁶

(continuous data are expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (S.D) and categorical data(s) are expressed as ratios; n=56).

The percentage of spondylolisthesis was assessed preoperatively by radiological method.⁷

Slippage of spondylolisthesis (Percent) = $\frac{b}{100/a}$

The degree of spondylolisthesis is classified depending on the displacement of the vertebral body width⁸: Grade = 0 to 25%; Grade 2 = 25 to 50%; Grade 3 = 50-75% and Grade 4 = 75 to 100%.

After obtaining written informed consent, the operation was performed using midline linear incision in the lower

Table 1: Component items of symptoms severity scale.

S. No	In the last month, how would you describe	No.	Percentage (%)
1	The pain you have had on average including pain in your back, buttock and pain that goes down the leg? • None • Mild • Moderate • Severe • Very Severe		
2	How often have you had back, buttock or leg pain? • Less than once a week • At least once a week • Every day, for at least a few minutes • Every day for most of the day • Every minutes of the day		
3	The pain in your back or buttock • None • Mild • Moderate • Severe		
4	The pain in your legs or feet? • None • Mild • Moderate • Severe • Very Severe		
5	Numbness or tingling in your legs or feet? • None • Mild • Moderate • Severe • Very Severe		
6	Weakness in your legs or feet? • None • Mild • Moderate • Severe • Very Severe		
7	Problems with your balance? • No, I have had no problems with balance • Yes, sometimes I feel my balance is off, or that I am not sure footed • Yes, often I feel my balance is off, or that I am not sure footed.		

Table 2: Component items of the physical function scale.

S. No.	In the last month, on a typical day	No.	Percentage (%)
1	How far have you been able to walk?		
	1. Over 2 miles		
	1. Over 2 blocks, but less than 2 miles		
	1. Over 50 feet, but less than 2 blocks		
	1. Less than 50 feet		
2	Have you taken walks outdoor or in malls for pleasure?		
	• Yes, comfortably		
	• Yes, but sometimes with pain		
	• Yes, but always with pain		
	• No		
3	Have you been shopping for groceries or other items?		
	• Yes, comfortably		
	• Yes, but sometimes with pain		
	• Yes, but always with pain		
	• No		
4	Have you walked around the different rooms in your house or apartment?		
	• Yes, comfortably		
	• Yes, but sometimes with pain		
	• Yes, but always with pain		
	• No		
5	Have you walk from your bedroom to the bathroom?		
	• Yes, comfortably		
	• Yes, but sometimes with pain		
	• Yes, but always with pain		
	• No		

lumbar region to expose the posterior elements of the spine from one level above to one level below the proposed level of fusion. The surgical procedure included lumbar decompression (laminectomy) and transpedicular instrumentation by pedicle screws, rods and autologous iliac crest bone graft.

The pre-operative and postoperative (at 6 months) data(s) were followed up clinically and radiologically and analyzed using SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago IL). Student's t-test (two-tailed, dependent) was used and a 'p' value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Table 3: Component items of the satisfaction scale (after 6 months of follow-up)

S. No.	How satisfied are you with	No.	Percentage (%)
1	The overall result of back operation?		
	• Very satisfied		
	• Somewhat satisfied		
	• Somewhat dissatisfied		
	• Very dissatisfied		
2	Relief of pain following the operation		
	• Very satisfied		
	• Somewhat satisfied		
	• Somewhat dissatisfied		
	• Very dissatisfied		
3	Your ability to walk following the operation		
	• Very satisfied		
	• Somewhat satisfied		
	• Somewhat dissatisfied		
	• Very dissatisfied		
4	Your ability to do house work, yard work, or job following the operation?		
	• Very satisfied		
	• Somewhat satisfied		
	• Somewhat dissatisfied		
	• Very dissatisfied		
5	Your strength in the thighs, legs and feet?		
	• Very satisfied		
	• Somewhat satisfied		
	• Somewhat dissatisfied		
	• Very dissatisfied		
6	Your balance or steadiness on your feet?		
	• Very satisfied		
	• Somewhat satisfied		
	• Somewhat dissatisfied		
	• Very dissatisfied		

3. Results

This study was conducted in 56 patients of degenerative spondylolisthesis requiring surgery to compare the preoperative and postoperative pain and disability. The demographic profile of the patients is shown in Table 4. All the patients turned up for postoperative assessment at 6 months.

(continuous data are expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (S.D) and categorical data(s) are expressed as ratios; n=56).

Out of the fifty-six patients, three patients (5.4%) had pseudoarthrosis and the rest (94.6%) had bony fusion. Patients in the bony fusion group had complications like

Table 4: Demographic profile and Co-morbidity status

Parameters			%
Age in years (Mean $\pm$ SD)	52.48 $\pm$ 11.82	-	-
Male: female(ratio)	22:34	-	-
Co-morbid conditions			
• Diabetes mellitus		7	12.5
• Hypertension		12	21.4
• Coronary artery disease		2	3.6
• Bronchial asthma		2	3.6
• Hyperthyroidism		1	1.8
Duration of symptoms			
1. Upto 12 months		23	51.8
1. 12-36 months		18	32.1
1. More than 36 months		9	16.2
Level of slip			
1. L3-4		3	5.4
1. L4-5		36	64.3
1. L5-S1		14	25
1. L3-4/L4-5		1	1.8
1. L4-5/L5-S1		2	3.6

Table 5: Shows the percentage of spondylolisthesis, VAS and degree of disability pre-operative and post-operative;

Parameters	Pre-operative	Post-operative	p-value
% spondylolisthesis	23.11%	15.19%	<0.001
VAS	7.52 $\pm$ 0.68	0.30 $\pm$ 0.74	<0.001
Degree of Disability:			
• Paresthesia	9 (16.1 %)	1 (1.8%)	<0.001
• Hypoesthesia	27 (48.2 %)	18 (32.1 %)	0.08
• Weakness	27 (48.2%)	16 (28.6 %)	0.05
• Stiffness	4 (7.1 %)	0	0.02
• Urinary Symptoms	7 (12.5%)	1 (1.8 %)	0.02
• Bowel Symptoms	0	1 (1.8 %)	0.16

(p< 0.05 - significant)

Table 6: Post-operative complications

Parameters	Nos.	Percentage (%)
Infection	1	1.9%
Deep vein thrombosis (DVT)	1	1.9%
Cauda equina syndrome	1	1.9%

FIG. 1. Slip-ping (per cent) = $\frac{b \times 100}{a}$ **Fig. 1:**

infection (one patient), deep vein thrombosis (one patient) and cauda equina syndrome in another patient (Table 6).

4. Discussion

The results of our study have shown that the degree of spondylolisthesis, pain and disability are markedly reduced postoperatively with minimal complications. There was no incidence of implant failure through 3(three) patients (5.4%) developed pseudoarthrosis without bony fusion. Some early studies^{9,10} have indicated that fusion status does not affect early clinical outcome.

A fibrous union appears to provide sufficient stabilization and pain relief of the back and lower extremities. In a prospectiverandomized study comparing decompressive laminectomy and arthrodesis with and without spinal instrumentation, Fischgrund et al¹¹ noted that pseudoarthrosis developed in 55% of the non-instrumental group, but the clinical outcome was still good or excellent in 83% of the patients. However, instrumentation has been recommended to increase the fusion rate, decrease the rehabilitation time and improve patient outcome.¹²⁻¹⁵ In the current study, the fusion mass was evaluated using plain radiograph. The overall results of bony fusion and pseudoarthrosis (94.6% and 5.4%) in our study seem better than that of Mardjetko et al¹⁶ (86% and 14%) and Booth et al (85% and 15%).¹⁷ However, our sample size is too small to claim any

superiority, and one¹⁶ of them involves a meta-analysis.

In this study, all patients had significant post-operative pain relief compared to the preoperative period. The classic presentation of lumbar spondylolisthesis with canal stenosis is that of bilateral neurogenic claudication as well as intermittent pain radiating to the thigh or leg, or both which is worse with prolonged standing, activity or lumbar extension, and this pain is relieved by sitting, lying down, or lumbar flexion.¹⁸ In patients with spondylolisthesis and canal stenosis of the L4-L5 segment, the L5 nerve root is usually affected. In case of severe stenosis, the L4 root may also be affected. L4 radiculopathy usually causes pain that radiates in the lateral thigh across the tibial tubercle area and extends down the medial portion of the leg. An L5 radiculopathy usually causes pain and numbness that radiates from the posterolateral thigh to the lateral portion of the leg and onto the dorsum of the foot. The maximum number of patients in this study involved L4-5 and L5-S1 levels.

The degree of disabilities was also significantly reduced postoperatively. Though statistically significant improvement can be shown, our results fall short of others,¹³ who had followed up the patients for 3(three) years and could demonstrate the ability to walk in 83% patients who had an instrumental fusion.

5. Limitation of the study

1. Lateral flexion-extension of the lumbosacral spine should be obtained to demonstrate the sagittal motion at the location of the spondylolisthesis. However, due to resource constraints, only neutral erect x-ray of the lumbosacral spine was included in the study.
2. The outcome of the pseudoarthrosis cases deteriorate over time. But as the mean duration of follow up was only 6(six) months, these aspects could not be ascertained in the study.

6. Conclusion

Decompressive laminectomy with postero-lateral instrumentation (pedicle screws and rods) and inter-transverse process arthrodesis with autologous bone graft is the surgical procedure of choice as it facilitates good bony fusion and relieves symptoms of radicular pain and claudication.

7. Source of funding

None.

8. Conflict of interest

None.

References

1. Newman PH. Spondylolisthesis: its causes and effect: Hunterian Lecture. *Ann R Coll Surg*. 1955;16:305–328.
2. Wiltse LL, Newman PH, Macnab I. Classification of Spondylolisthesis and Spondylolisthesis. *Clin Orthopaedics Related Res*. 1976;117:23–29.
3. Rosenberg NJ. Degenerative spondylolisthesis: Predisposing factors. *J Bone Joint Surg*. 1975;57A:467–474.
4. Lombardi JS, Wiltse LL, Reynolds J, Widell EH, Spencer C. Treatment of Degenerative Spondylolisthesis. *Spine*. 1985;10(9):821–827.
5. Stucki G, Daltroy L, Liang MH, Lipson SJ, Fossel AH, Katz JN. Measurement properties of a self-administered outcome measure in lumbar spine stenosis. *Spine*. 1996;21:796–803.
6. Bodian CA, Freedman G, Hossain S, Eisenkraft JB, Beilin Y. The visual analogue scale for pain: clinical significance in post-operative patients. *Anesthesiol*. 2001;95(6):1356–1361.
7. Morgan F, Kinet T. Primary instability of lumbar vertebrae as a common cause of low back pain. *J Bone Joint Surg*. 1957;39:6–22.
8. Rechtine GR, Sutterlin CE, Wood GW, Boyd RJ, Mansfield FL. The efficacy of pedicle screw/plate fixation on lumbar/lumbosacral autogenous bone graft fusion in adult patients with degenerative spondylolisthesis. *J Spinal Disord*. 1996;9(5):382–391.
9. Hawkes CH, Roberts GM. Neurogenic and vascular claudication. *J Neurologic Sci*. 1978;38(3):337–345.
10. Iguchi T, Wakami T, Kurihara A, Kasahara K, Yoshiya S, et al. Lumbar Multilevel Degenerative Spondylolisthesis: Radiological Evaluation and Factors Related to Anterolisthesis and Retrolisthesis. *J Spinal Disord Techniq*. 2002;15(2):93–99.
11. Fischgrund JS, Mackay M, Herkowitz HN, Brower R, Montgomery DM, et al. 1997 Volvo Award Winner in Clinical Studies. *Spine*. 1997;22(24):2807–2812.
12. Sengupta DK, Fischgrund J. *Lumbar. Essentials in Orthopedics*. Spine Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
13. Bridwell KH, Sedgewick TA, O'Brien MF, Lenke LG, Baldus C. The Role of Fusion and Instrumentation in the Treatment of Degenerative Spondylolisthesis with Spinal Stenosis. *J Spinal Disord*. 1993;6(6):461–472.
14. Zdeblick TA. A Prospective, Randomized Study of Lumbar Fusion. *Spine*. 1993;18(8):983–991.
15. Yuan HA, Garfin SR, Dickman CA, Mardjetko SM. A Historical Cohort Study of Pedicle Screw Fixation in Thoracic, Lumbar, and Sacral Spinal Fusion. *Spine*. 1994;19:2279S–2296S.
16. Mardjetko SM, Connolly PJ, Shotts. Degenerative lumbar spondylolisthesis: A meta-analysis of literature 1970-1993. *Spine*. 1994;19:2256–2265.
17. Booth KC, Bridwell KH, Eisenberg BA, Baldus CR, Lenke LG. Minimum 5-Year Results of Degenerative Spondylolisthesis Treated With Decompression and Instrumented Posterior Fusion. *Spine*. 1999;24(16):1721–1727.
18. Grubb SA, Lipscomb HJ, Coonrad RW. Degenerative Adult Onset Scoliosis. *Spine*. 1988;13(3):241–245.

Author biography

Thokchom Gojendra Singh Associate Professor, Neurosurgery Unit

Brahmacharimayum Bobby Sarma Assistant Professor

Nongthombam Hemanta Kumar Singh Neurophysician

Nongthombam Ratan Singh Professor

Laithangbam PKS Professor

Cite this article: Singh TG, Sarma BB, Singh NHK, Singh NR, Laithangbam PKS. Evaluation of surgery in degenerative spondylolisthesis: A non randomized controlled trial. *IP Indian J Neurosci* 2020;6(1):25–29.